UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,372	08/02/2006	Yoichi Matsubara	Y-228 (NUBIC10494)	3142
802 PATENTTM.U	7590 10/27/200 S	9	EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 8278			PETTITT, JOHN F	
PORTLAND, OR 97282-0788			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/551,372	MATSUBARA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John F. Pettitt	3744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,2 and 4-6 is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 September 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	r election requirement. r. are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •			
	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of format 10-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/02/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of species D Fig. 4 in the reply filed on 07/29/2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the applicant submits that the searches and examination would be similar. This is not found persuasive because the different species disclosed are mutually exclusive. Further the lack of unity has been established and has proven by the prior art that the inventions do not share a common technical feature. Lastly the search for the different inventions would require divergent search queries and therefore, the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 4-6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Pulse tube refrigerator with Heat Driven Pressure Generator.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: The recitation "A pulse-tube refrigerator comprising a pulse tube, a cool storage unit connected to the low-temperature side of said pulse tube, a vibration generator connected to the high-

Art Unit: 3744

temperature side of said cool storage unit, and a reservoir with an orifice connected to the high-temperature side of said pulse tube, wherein said vibration generator is a heat-driven pressure-wave generator comprising a heat-driven tube and a resonator connected to the inlet port of said heat-driven tube, wherein said heat-driven tube consists of a heat-storage unit, a heating heat exchanger, a radiation heat exchanger, and a work-transmission tube." lacks antecedent basis and should read --A pulse-tube refrigerator comprising a pulse tube, a cool storage unit connected to a low-temperature side of said pulse tube, a vibration generator connected to a high-temperature side of said cool storage unit, and a reservoir with an orifice connected to the high-temperature side of said pulse tube, wherein said vibration generator is a heat-driven pressure-wave generator comprising a heat-driven tube and a resonator connected to a inlet port of said heat-driven tube, wherein said heat-driven tube consists of a heat-storage unit, a heating heat exchanger, a radiation heat exchanger, and a work-transmission tube.--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- **6.** Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Swift (US 4,953,366) hereafter Swift (366). Swift (366) teaches A pulse-tube refrigerator (Figures) comprising a pulse tube (32), a cool storage unit (24) connected to a low-temperature

Art Unit: 3744

side (near 28) of said pulse tube (32), a vibration generator (10) connected to a high-temperature side (near 26, 34) of said cool storage unit (24), and a reservoir (38) with an orifice (36) connected to the high-temperature side (near 26, 34) of said pulse tube (32), wherein said vibration generator (10) is a heat-driven pressure-wave generator (column 2, line 63; 30-40) comprising a heat-driven tube (22, 16, 14, 18) and a resonator (19; column 4, line 24; column 5, lines 20-24) connected to a inlet port of said heat-driven tube (22, 16, 14, 18), wherein said heat-driven tube (22, 16, 14, 18) consists of a heat-storage unit (14), a heating heat exchanger (16), a radiation heat exchanger (18), and a work-transmission tube (22).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ceperley (US 4,114,380) hereafter Ceperly (380) in view of Swift (US 6,021,643) hereafter Swift

Application/Control Number: 10/551,372

Art Unit: 3744

(643). Ceperly (380) teaches a heat-driven pressure-wave generator (Fig. 1, 1-8) that comprises a resonator (acoustical wave source) and heat-driven tube consists a heat-storage unit (2), a heating heat exchanger (6), a radiation heat exchanger (5), and a work-transmission tube (1, 7, 8). Ceperly (380) explicitly teaches that the generator (1-8) is for a heat pump (such as a Stirling cooler; column 2, lines 60-65).

Page 5

Ceperly (380) does not explicitly teach that the refrigerator is a pulse tube refrigerator comprising a pulse tube, a cool storage unit connected to a low-temperature side of said pulse tube, a vibration generator connected to a high-temperature side of said cool storage unit, and a reservoir with an orifice connected to the high-temperature side of said pulse tube. However, pulse tube refrigerators are well known in the art for providing cryogenic refrigeration with high reliability. Swift (643) teaches a pulse tube (24), a cool storage unit (22) connected to a low-temperature side of said pulse tube (24), a vibration generator (14) connected to a high-temperature side of said cool storage unit (22), and a reservoir (28) with an orifice (12) connected to the high-temperature side of said pulse tube (24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to employ the heat-driven pressure generator of Ceperly (380) to provide pressure waves to drive the pulse tube of Swift (643) for the purpose of providing a highly reliably cryogenic heat pump with no moving parts (Ceperly (380) - column 1, line 55; column 4, lines 30-34).

9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swift (643) in view of Ceperly (380).

Swift (643) teaches a pulse tube (24), a cool storage unit (22) connected to a low-temperature side of said pulse tube (24), a vibration generator (14) connected to a high-temperature side of said cool storage unit (22), and a reservoir (28) with an orifice (12) connected to the high-temperature side of said pulse tube (24).

Swift (643) does not explicitly teach that the vibration generator should be a heat driven pressure-wave generator. However, Ceperly (380) teaches a pressure wave generator (Fig.1) comprising a resonator (acoustical wave source) and heat-driven tube consists a heat-storage unit (2), a heating heat exchanger (6), a radiation heat exchanger (5), and a work-transmission tube (1, 7, 8); and that the generator has no moving parts and is disclosed as providing pressure wave generation for heat pump refrigerators (column 6, line 67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the pulse tube of Swift (643) with the heat driven pressure generator of Ceperly (380) for the purpose of providing a cryocooler with no direct moving parts (Ceperly 380-column 1, line 26).

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John F. Pettitt whose telephone number is 571-272-0771. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8a-4p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler or Frantz Jules can be reached on 571-272-4834 or 571-272-6681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John F Pettitt / Examiner, Art Unit 3744

/Cheryl J. Tyler/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3744

JFP III October 15, 2009